

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,424	01/24/2001	Toshiyuki Nakamura	1217-010064	5656
7:	590 02/13/2003			
Russell D Orkin 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue			EXAMINER	
			NORRIS, JEREMY C	
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/744,424	NAKAMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeremy C. Norris	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute cause the application to become ARA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>15 October 2</u> 002 .					
<del>-</del> -	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>116-118,120-134 and 136</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>121-134</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>116-118,120 and 136</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2002 is		ted to by the Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<del>-</del>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor		•				
<ul><li>a)  The translation of the foreign languag</li><li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do</li></ul>	pe provisional application has been mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. &	en received. § 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) cormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/744,424

Art Unit: 2827

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## , Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 116-118, 120, and 136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Us 3,750,278 (hereafter Baker) in view of US 6,288,905 (hereafter Chung).

Baker discloses, referring to figures 6-13, a printed wiring board-forming sheet (29) comprising an insulating resin sheet (85) having a through hole (29a) inserted and filled with a conductive metal chip (88) of substantially the same shape as the hole, wherein the conductive metal is formed by punching a conductive metal sheet. Baker does not specifically disclose that the sheet is selected from the group consisting of a

Application/Control Number: 09/744,424

Art Unit: 2827

solder sheet, a solder–plated metal sheet and a copper alloy sheet [claim 116]. Indeed Baker discloses a preferred embodiment where the sheet is copper. However, it would have been obvious, to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to use a copper alloy sheet as copper alloy is know in the art to be a functional equivalent to copper in conductive applications as evidenced by Chung (see col. 3, line 65 – col. 4, line 10). Furthermore, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. Moreover, Applicants themselves reference this same equivalency on page 41 of the instant application.

Additionally, the modified invention of Baker discloses a conductive metal layer formed on a surface of the resin insulating sheet, the conductive material layer and the metal chip being electrically connected with each other [claim 117], wherein the conductive material layer is formed by a wiring pattern (86, 87) [claim 118], wherein the insulating sheet is formed of glass epoxy (see col. 11, lines 25-35) [claims 120, 136].

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 116-118, 120, and 136 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/744,424

Art Unit: 2827

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 703-306-5737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Th., 9AM - 6:30 PM and alt. Fri. 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0725 for regular communications and 703-308-0725 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DAVID L. TALBOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

JCSN February 8, 2003